UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,794	12/26/2001	Jeff Scott Eder		5962
53787 ASSET TRUST	7590 03/31/200 Γ, INC.	EXAMINER		
2020 MALTBY SUITE 7362		WEISBERGER, RICHARD C		
BOTHELL, WA	A 98021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/025,794	EDER, JEFF SCOTT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard C. Weisberger	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 35-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 35-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794 Page 2

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 36-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

.The insertion of material incorporated by reference into the specification and drawings of an application must be by way of an amendment to the specification or drawings. Such an amendment must be accompanied by a statement that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. Moreover, the specification makes reference to 09/994,379. This is an unrelated application. Clarification is requested.

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawing are not properly identified as new. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794 Page 3

Art Unit: 3693

In view of the amendments, the rejection under this paragraph has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 36-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claims a computer implemented process optimization method, comprising:

obtaining a computational model of organization financial performance that identifies a

contribution to an organization market value and an organization risk is indefinite in scope. Is the model

producing an output for both value and risk? Clarification is requested.

The further limitation for "each of one or more elements of value, external factors and risks for each of

one or more segments of enterprise value, a process specifications" is vague and indefinite. It is not

clear how the contribution for market value and organization risk relates to each of these elements.

Clarification is requested.

The further limitation, that identifies one or more expected process outputs, a plurality of organization

related transaction data and a plurality of process feature data, identifying an impact of each process

feature on the process outputs is vague and indefinite. Clarification as to the meaning of this limitation is

requested.

The further set of limitations is vague and indefinite:

mapping the expected process outputs (It is not clear what the outputs are) to the computational model

of organization financial performance;

creating a financial simulation model for the organization using said mappings, model and

Art Unit: 3693

process data (the nature and scope of this model is unclear);

The applicant has requesting that an affidavit detailing the reason or reasons why the Examiner feels that extra limitations need to be added to the claims in order to describe: how mapping the expected process outputs to the matrices of value and risk is accomplished, how risk is measured and or determined, how value is measured or determined, how learning used to develop the value and risk components, and how one uses simulation data to identify the impact of one or more process outputs on a matrix and/or how a real option segment of value defined the risk matrix. The rejection under this paragraph does not necessarily require claims amendments, the applicant is encouraged to contact the examiner to address these issue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C. Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571 272 6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794

Art Unit: 3693

/Richard C Weisberger/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693 Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3693 Page 5

The Assignee is hereby also requesting that an affidavit detailing the reason or reasons why the Examiner feels that extra limitations need to be added to the claims in order to describe: how mapping the expected process outputs to the matrices of value and risk is accomplished, how risk is measured and or determined, how value is measured or determined, how learning used to develop the value and risk components, how one uses simulation data to identify the impact of one or more process outputs on a matrix and/or how a real option segment of value defined the risk matrix. If the Examiner no longer feels these additions are required, then there is no need to respond to this request.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794

Page 6

Art Unit: 3693